G. R. S. 59]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1897-98
(Salaries and Allowances)

File No. 2 of 1897-98

Serial Nos. 1 to 2

Papers Filed.

Month

SUBJECT.

Proposal for Gazetted Holidays and Sundays not to be included in five days' limit of casual leave

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.
	S&A 2 of 1902-03 S&A 135 of 1903-04

Commenced
Released

30/3/98

My dear Sadasivayya

Mr. V Rangachari Addl
Munsiff of Mysore availed himself of 5
casual leave granted to him on the fore
of the 9th August. 1897 and returned to du
on the forenoon of the 16th idem, Your Offic
has (in letter no $\frac{G.S.105}{G.II.1175}$ dy 4-11-97. of the Registra
Chief Cons.) pointed out the necessity for
obtaining Government sanction for extend
the leave by 2 days

Of the whole period of 7 days leave
from privilege leave, Mr. Rangachari would
only be entitled to half his pay for that
period under article 203 b) of the Regln

But it strikes me that the extra

Note

(9)

The existing rule is that casual leave ~~for 5~~ under 171 of the Service Regulations cannot be granted in continuation of any other leave, nor can it be taken either immediately before or immediately after any authorized public holidays so as to make the total period of absence exceed 5 days -- Vide Art. 675.

This restriction does not, however, apply to casual leave deducted from privilege leave, as explained in Govt Proc. No. 1127-Est/31.91 dt 31-7-96

The Chief Comr. ~~[illegible]~~ has represented that the rule as regards casual leave under Art. 171 is attended with hardship, and recommend the adoption of the Madras Rule × under which foreign holidays and Sundays are not to be included in the five days' limit.

The Comptroller thinks the matter is one to be decided on considerations of administrative convenience, though he believes the existing rules are already sufficiently liberal.

I submit that the existing rule as regards casual leave leads to a practical anomaly. For instance if an officer is granted 5 days casual leave under article 171, but the leave as actually availed of is followed by a Sunday, is the whole to be treated as six days casual leave to be deducted from

× Not agreed to by Comptr. Govt may be moved by

He proposes to refer to articles 172 & 178 - (1)

3/ privilege leave, to arise owing to the restriction in Art. 175? But if it is to be so treated, that very fact takes it away from the operation of Art 175 as explained in the Govt Resp of 31-7-96.

At all events the Rule may be more lucidly and unequivocally worded.

Subs. Bldg 29/3/98

This question will be considered in connection with the whole of revised Service Regulations now under Govt - But meanwhile

Art 174 may be so construed as to permit of the Ranger's leave being extended by two days without any portion of his pay - On this point please consult the Comptroller & Auditor General.

30/3/98

D.O. - Subs. for appl.

Mr Secretary Finance

Next article 174 cannot help Mr Ranger in any way -

The words "~~not shall be~~ ~~included~~ ..... 5 days may & should be omitted in article 175.

The Attorney Gen to with please advise

Mr. B the Rec can & a. Colonel his address - 4 4 98

4/4/98

1897-98 J 671 (1)

Acctt A&R Regn 1556/1173

No 8191 dated 29th Nov 1897
J 692 Rec:

From
The Registrar of the
Chief Court, Mysore

To
The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

OFFICE OF THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL 4363 19-11-97

CONTROLLER'S OFFICE 24 NOV 97 MYSORE

Dated 17th Nov/97
Dept 18
19 3146 In: one
83

Forwarded to the Comptroller for Govt for favour of opinion

C Veeraiyangar
Secy.
27-11-97

Suggests that the rule in force in Madras "that Gazetted holidays and Sundays should not be included in the five days limit of casual leave may be adopted in this Province.

29.11.97

I have no objection to allowing Casual leave to Subordinates when a full time Peishkar is absent - Please see that also

Yes

3-12-97

There would be some inconvenience I have mentioned above to Govt. Servants

The rule is true in the Judicial Dept

18/12

17

Rec J
19-11-97

Refers a copy of the Mysore Service Regulations. Art 20/11

Subs 6 the Secy.

23/11

N 3146/85 Rec: one

SC Nov 1

Trolly 2 vb 1897-98

(2)

Bangalore 17th November 1897

The Registrar of the
Chief Court of Mysore

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Sir,

I have the honor to state that Mr. V. Ranga Bhari the additional munsiff of Mysore was granted by this Court, casual leave of absence for 3 days from 9th August 1897 and it was subsequently extended by 2 days. He availed himself of the leave in the forenoon of the 9th August and returned to duty in the forenoon of the 16th Idem, the 12th having been a general holiday (Sravani) and the 15th Sunday. When the usual report was made to the Comptroller that - officer in his Docket no $\frac{3105}{1175}$ dated 4th Instant (a Copy of which is herein enclosed) states that the munsiff overstayed his leave by two days as casual leave could not be taken in continuation of holidays so as to make the total period of absence exceed 5 days (article 175 of the Mysore Service Regulations).

I am directed to suggest that the rule in force

in

in Madras that "Gazetted holidays and Sundays should not be included in the five days' limit" may be adopted in this Province and the necessary modification made in article 175 of the Mysore Service Regulations as the present restriction is felt to be a hardship by many officials.

I have the honor to be

Sir

your most obedient Servant

S Rajakatramudi

Registrar

dlg

(16

Treasury Account Department

1897

From The Comptroller Government of Mysore

To The Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore

Dated 4th November 1897

General no. 3105
Gc II no. 1175

With reference to his docket no. 2487/56 dated 12th October 1897 intimating that Mr. V. Rangachari Additional Munsiff of Mysore availed himself of the 5 days casual leave granted to him on the forenoon of the 9th August 1897 and returned to duty on the forenoon of the 16th Idem, has the honor to state that the Munsiff had overstayed his leave by 2 days as casual leave could not be taken in continuation of holidays so as to make the total period of absence exceed 5 days vide Article 175 of the Mysore Service Regulations and to request that the sanction of Government may be obtained for extending the leave by two days

(Signed) E. R. Subbiarayalu
Comptroller

Copy
[illegible]
Registrar

CMS

Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), 5th November 1894. No. 477.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.
(Land Revenue)

Read — the following Government Order, dated 29th October 1894, Mis No. 4135, Revenue: —

Read — the following Government Order, dated 17th October 1894, No. 853, Financial: —

Order — dated 17th October 1894. No. 853. Financial

In supersession of previous orders on the subject of the length of time for which casual leave can be granted at one time and in the course of each year, the Government directs that casual leave shall not be granted for a longer period than five days at a time or for more than ten days in a year.

2. Heads of Departments will be authorized to grant themselves casual leave within the above limits. They will, previous to availing themselves of such leave, intimate their intention of doing so demi-officially to Govt. in the Department concerned. Replies to such communications will be sent only when Government has remarks

Remarks to offer or objections to make with reference to leave.

3 The attention of Heads of Departments will be drawn to the note to Article 366 of the Civil Service Regulations and to the rule that applications for privilege leave should be considered with reference to the amount of casual leave previously granted to the applicant.

(True extract)

(Signed) J. F. Price
Chief Secretary

To The Departments of Secretariat.
All Heads of Departments under the Chief Secretary.

Order — dated 29th October 1894, Mis No. 4135, Revenue.

Communicated to all Heads of Departments under the Revenue Secretariat

(True extract)

(Signed) R. C. C. Carr.
Under Secy. to Govt.

To The Commissioners of Land Revenue.
The Commissioner of Revenue Settlement and Director of the Department of Land Records and Agriculture.
The Commissioner of Salt, Abkari and Separate Revenue

The Superintendent of Revenue Survey.
The Imam Commissioner.
The Superintendent, Govt Central Museum
The Government Botanist and Director,
Government Cinchona Plantations

Resolution — dated 5th November 1894 — No. 47,

Communicated to all Collectors and
Conservators of Forests for information.

2. The first Sentence of Board's
Proceedings, dated 14th June 1886,
No. 1333, is cancelled

(True copy and extract)

(Signed) L. M. Wynch.
Secretary

"True copy"

(Signed) C. Clark
Registrar

To all Collectors
" Conservators of Forests
Copy to the Forest Section

1894

Board of Revenue.
(Land Revenue)
Madras.

Printed November 16th

Proceedings, 5th November, 1894.
No 477

Communicating to all Collectors and Conservators of Forests, Govt order issuing certain instructions regarding the grant of casual leave

Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), 23rd October (8

1895, No 385

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
(Land Revenue)

Read — the following Government Order, Mis. No 4066, Revenue, dated 10th October 1895: —

Read — the following Government Order, No 714, Financial, dated 20th September 1895

Read — the following ~~pour~~ papers: —

Government Order, No 853, Finan-cial, dated 17th October 1894.

Abstract — Issuing certain instruc-tions in regard to casual leave.

From Commander W. J. Powell, R.I.M. Presidency Port officer, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Madras, 14th August 1895,

G No 1732-224.

I have the honor to suggest a reconsideration of Government Order, No 853, Financial dated 17th

9/17th October 1894, limiting the grant of casual leave to five days at a time and not more than (10) ten days in a year. I think the concession would be more appreciable if the maximum is raised to twelve days and it is left optional to take twelve days at once in a year or take it in instalments not exceeding in the aggregate twelve days in the year. In the case of light-keepers and other inferior Servants of the Port Department the limit of (5) five days at a time operates as a hardship, especially as they are not entitled to privilege leave on full pay (Government Order No. 104, Marine, of 21st March 1894), while persons in Superior Service also frequently find the five days insufficient for their purposes.

Order No. 714, Financial, dated 20th September 1895.

The Government is not prepared to

after the limits laid down in para-graph 1. of Government Order, No. 853, dated 17th October 1894.

2. Gazetted holidays and Sundays should not be included in the five days' limit.

(True Extract)

(Signed) J. F. Price
Chief Secretary

To
The Presidency Port officer.
The Revenue Department
The Public Works Department
The Local and Municipal Department.
All heads of Departments under the Chief Secretary.

Order — Mis No. 4066, Revenue, dated 10th October 1895.

Communicated to the Heads of Departments under the Revenue Secretariat

(True extract)

(Signed) W. B. Ayling
Ag. Asst Secy. to Govt.

To
The Board of Revenue (Land Revenue).
-(Revenue Settlement and
Director of the Department of
Land Record and Agriculture)
(Salt, Abkari and Separate Revenue

To
The Superintendent of Revenue Survey.
The Inam Commissioner.
The Superintendent Government Central Museum.
The Government Botanist and Director, Government Cinchona Plantations

Resolution — dated 23rd October 1895, No. 385.

Communicated to all Collectors and Conservators of Forests in continuation of Board's Proceedings, No. 477, dated 5th November 1894.

2. Board's Proceedings, No. 2930, dated 21st October 1885, is hereby cancelled.

(True copy and extract)
(Signed) L. M. Wynch
Secretary

(True copy.)
(Signed) S. Annaswamy
Second Assistant

To
all Collectors
Conservators of Forests
Forest Section

W/

1895

Board of Revenue.

(Land Revenue)

Madras.

Printed November 4th

Proceedings, 23rd October 1895 No. 385

Communicating to all Collectors, Local Government order declining to alter the limits of casual leave laid down in paragraph 1 of Govt. Order, No. 853 Financial, dated 17th Oct. 1894, but directing that Gazetted holidays and Sundays should not be included in the five days' limit.

1897.

From The Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore

To *The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,*
General and Revenue Departments

~~To~~

Dated 17th Nov 97

Despatched

Received 19.11.97

General No. 3146

No. 85

Enclosure No. 1

Suggests that the rule in force in Madras, that Gazetted holidays and Sundays should not be included in the five days' limit of Casual leave, may be adopted in this Province.

laid down in article 1507 of the Mysore Service Regulations -

No 6191 & 6192 of 29.11.97

Forwarded to the Comptr. to Govt. for favour of opinion

(Sd) C. Srinivasiengar

Secy
27-11-97

(B) J 1223

189 8069 17/3/98.

From

The Comptroller, Mysore Govt

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore

_____ Dept.

No change deemed called for
C
30-7-98

Dated 15th March 98

Despatched ~~6577~~

Received in J. Branch 17/3/98

L. F. No. 6577
No.

SUBJECT MATTER.

Stating that in British ~~India~~ casual leave is not recognized as leave and is not subject to any Codal Rule.

17.3.98
Respectfully
BB
18/3/98

To Pt A Memo

Please put up copy of Civil Service Regulations quoted with the letter.

Memo 30/3/98 — Submitted 26/3/98

SC No. 2
C 892 26.892 16

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER, MYSORE GOVT.

C. F. No. 6577

Bangalore, 15th March 1898

From

E. R. SUBRAYER, Esq.,

Comptroller, Mysore Govt.

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,

Genl Audrew Depts.

SIR,

I have the honor to acknowledge receipt of your Circular Memo No: 691-J 692 dated 29th November last and subsequent reminders No. 9199/J 1032 and 11329/J 1241 dated 7th February last and 14th instant, and to state that in British India Casual leave is not recognized as leave and is not subject to any Codal rule. See Note 1 to Art 366 of the Civil Service Regulations.

2. In this Province also, the grant of Casual leave was, till the Mysore Regulations were introduced, regulated by administrative orders and rules issued by the Chief Commissioner and the Dewan for the guidance of the Heads of Departments.

(15)

3. These orders have, however, been embodied in the Service Regulations in articles 171 to 175, and casual leave recognized as a kind of leave, though in Article 176 it is stated with an apparent inconsistency, that such leave "is not recognized and is not subject to any rule".

4. The grant of the concession recommended by the Chief Court depends upon purely administrative convenience about which I am not competent to give any opinion

5. I may however state for the information of Government that the rules, as they now stand embodied in the above articles, already contain very large and liberal concessions not to be found in Madras or in any British Government rules

6. The delay in disposing of this reference was due to my absence on leave and was unavoidable

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most obedient Humble Servant
G Montgomerie
Comptroller